

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

JOE HOLCOMBE, et. al,	§	NO. 5:18-CV-00555-XR
	§	
Plaintiffs	§	Consolidated with:
	§	5:18-cv-00712-XR (<i>Vidal</i>)
	§	5:18-cv-00881-XR (<i>Uhl</i>)
vs.	§	5:18-cv-00944-XR (<i>Ramsey</i>)
	§	5:18-cv-00949-XR (<i>McNulty</i>)
UNITED STATES OF	§	5:18-cv-00951-XR (<i>Wall</i>)
AMERICA,	§	5:18-cv-01151-XR (<i>Amador</i>)
	§	5:19-cv-00184-XR (<i>Brown</i>)
Defendant	§	5:19-cv-00289-XR (<i>Ward</i>)
	§	5:19-cv-00506-XR (<i>Workman</i>)
	§	5:19-cv-00678-XR (<i>Colbath</i>)
	§	5:19-cv-00691-XR (<i>Braden</i>)
	§	5:19-cv-00706-XR (<i>Lookingbill</i>)
	§	5:19-cv-00714-XR (<i>Solis</i>)
	§	5:19-cv-00715-XR (<i>McKenzie</i>)
	§	5:19-cv-00805-XR (<i>Curnow</i>)
	§	5:19-cv-00705-XR (<i>Workman</i>)
	§	5:19-cv-00806-XR (<i>Macias</i>)

ORDER

Before the Court is Defendant United States' Motion to Exclude Testimony of Plaintiffs' Expert Daniel Webster. Having considered the motion and the exhibits, the Court finds that the testimony of Plaintiffs' retained expert, Dr. Daniel Webster, an epidemiologist, concerning issues of causation fails to satisfy the standard set forth under Fed. R. Evid. 702, and is not sufficiently reliable under *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993) and its progeny. Accordingly, the motion is hereby GRANTED.

Signed and entered this _____ day of _____, 2020

Xavier Rodriguez
United States District Court Judge